

## Questions regarding COS study reporting

### Reporting COS study – findings and PPI

When reporting on the COS study, PRPs can collaborate with the research team to consider:

What is communicated about the COS study to participants and in academic articles etc:

- Is the information understandable and comprehensive?
- Does it adequately and clearly explain the methods and findings?
- Are there any limitations that you feel have not been highlighted about the work?
- Do you think the conclusions are justified?
- Have the team adequately highlighted the importance of this work from a patient community perspective?
- What do you think should be the key messages about the COS work?
- Have any differences in opinion between stakeholder groups been transparently reported?
- How have patient participants been involved in producing the report?
  
- What is being communicated about PPI in the COS study:
  - Is the information understandable and comprehensive?
  - Does it adequately and clearly explain how PPI was undertaken in the study?
  - Are there any limitations to the PPI that you feel haven't been highlighted about the work?
  - Do you think the conclusions are justified?
  - What do you think should be the key messages about PPI in the COS work?
  
- How the study results should be disseminated:
  - Who are the potential patient / public target audiences?
  - What is the best way to share the study results with the target audience?
  - What methods add value to communicating the study findings (e.g. podcasts / social media / video etc)